	Case 3:10-cv-00056-ECR-RAM Document 26 Filed 07/19/10 Page 1 of 1
1	
2	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
5	
6	CHARLES BARR,
7	Petitioner, 3:10-cv-00056-ECR-RAM
8	vs. ORDER
9	UNITED STATES PAROLE ) COMMISSION, )
10	Respondent.
11	
12	
13	This is an action on a petition for writ of habeas corpus. Petitioner moves the court
14	for summary judgment (docket #16) alleging he is entitled to such relief because respondents failed
15	to give a timely response as ordered by the court. This allegation is belied by the record.
16	Furthermore, summary judgment is only proper where "the pleadings, depositions, answers to
17	interrogatories, and admissions on file, together with the affidavits, if any, show that there is no
18	genuine issue as to any material fact and that the moving party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of
19	law." Fed.R.Civ.P. 56(c). The moving party has the burden of demonstrating the absence of a
20	genuine issue of fact for trial. See Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc., 477 U.S. 242, 256 (1986).
21	Petitioner has not made such a showing.
22	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the motion for summary judgment (docket
23	#16) is <b>DENIED</b> .
24	Dated this 19th day of July, 2010.
25	FILLO
26	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE